Introduction to CL I (684.01) Detmar Meurers OSU Linguistics Winter 2004

Exercise sheet 4

(Submit as a plain text email message to dm@ling.osu.edu before class on Tuesday, 10. Feb)

- 1. Compare the three encodings in dcg/append_encoding1.pl, dcg/append_encoding2.pl, and dcg/dcg_encoding.pl: After starting a new prolog and loading one of the files, try parsing a string that is well-formed according to this grammar, and one that is not well-formed. Specifically, try parsing s([a,clown,loves,a,clown]) and s([paul,laughs]) (where it is intentional that paul is not in the lexicon) and trace the execution.
 - (a) Report how the results of the two calls differ for the three grammars, and
 - (b) explain why, i.e., characterize the steps taken by the system in trying to prove these goals. (For each of the six characterizations, a couple of sentences should suffice.)

Remember that Control-C interrupts Prolog execution.

2. Consider the following small DCG grammar (on the web as file ex4.pl):

```
top_s --> s([],[]).
s(G1,G3) --> np(G1,G2), vp(G2,G3).
np([gap],[]) --> [].
np(G,G) --> [the, man], postmod.
postmod --> [].
postmod --> [Who], s([gap],[]).
vp(G,G) --> [slept].
vp(G1,G2) --> [saw], np(G1,G2).
```

Explain why this DCG accepts the string "the man who the man saw slept" but does not accept "the man who saw slept" as a top_s. (Less than ten sentences should be sufficient to explain this.)

 Read Chapter 6 "Computability and Complexity" and Chapter 7 "Introduction to Parsing" from the lecture notes.