Towards more complex grammar systems Some basic formal language theory Detmar Meurers: Intro to Computational Linguistics I OSU, LING 684.01	<b>Overview</b> • Grammars, or: how to specify linguistic knowledge • Automata, or: how to process with linguistic knowledge • Levels of complexity in grammars and automata: The Chomsky hierarchy
<section-header><text><text><list-item><list-item><list-item>          Grammars           A grammar is a 4-tuple (N, Σ, S, P) where           • N is a finite set of non-terminals           • N is a finite set of non-terminals           • S is a finite set of terminal symbols, with N ∩ Σ = Ø           • S is a distinguished start symbol, with S ∈ N           • P is a finite set of rewrite rules of the form α → β, with α, β ∈ (N ∪ Σ)* and α including at least one non-terminal symbol.</list-item></list-item></list-item></text></text></section-header>	$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \text{ simple example} \\ & \mathcal{N} = \{S, NP, VP, V_i, V_t, V_s\} \\ & \mathcal{D} = \{John, Mary, laughs, loves, thinks\} \\ & \mathcal{D} = S \\ & \mathcal{P} = \begin{cases} S & \rightarrow & NP \lor VP & \bigwedge NP & \rightarrow & John \\ & NP & \rightarrow & Mary \\ & VP & \rightarrow & V_t & NP & \rightarrow & Mary \\ & VP & \rightarrow & V_t & NP & V_t & \rightarrow & laughs \\ & VP & \rightarrow & V_s & S & V_s & \rightarrow & thinks \end{cases} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$

## How does a grammar define a language?

Assume  $\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup \Sigma)$ \*, with  $\alpha$  containing at least one non-terminal. • A sentential form for a grammar G is defined as:

- The start symbol S of G is a sentential form.
- If  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  is a sentential form and there is a rewrite rule  $\beta \to \delta$  then  $\alpha\delta\gamma$  is a sentential form.
- $\alpha$  (directly or immediately) **derives**  $\beta$  if  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \in P$ . One writes:
  - $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$  if  $\beta$  is derived from  $\alpha$  in zero or more steps
  - $\alpha \Rightarrow^+ \beta$  if  $\beta$  is derived from  $\alpha$  in one or more steps
- A sentence is a sentential form consisting only of terminal symbols.
- The language L(G) generated by the grammar G is the set of all sentences which can be derived from the start symbol S,
   i.e., L(G) = {γ|S ⇒\* γ}

### Processing with grammars: automata

An automaton in general has three components:

- an **input tape**, divided into squares with a read-write head positioned over one of the squares
- an auxiliary memory characterized by two functions
  - fetch: memory configuration  $\rightarrow$  symbols
  - $-\,$  store: memory configuration  $\times$  symbol  $\rightarrow$  memory configuration
- and a finite-state control relating the two components.

# Different levels of complexity in grammars and automata

Let  $A, B \in N$ ,  $x \in \Sigma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (\Sigma \cup T)$ \*, and  $\delta \in (\Sigma \cup T)$ +, then:

ſ	Туре	Automat	on	Grai	mmar
ſ		Memory	Name	Rule	Name
	0	Unbounded	TM	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$	General rewrite
ſ	1	Bounded	LBA	$\beta A \gamma \rightarrow \beta \delta \gamma$	Context-sensitive
ſ	2	Stack	PDA	$A \rightarrow \beta$	Context-free
	3	None	FSA	$A \to xB, A \to x$	Right linear

Abbreviations:

- TM: Turing Machine
- LBA: Linear-Bounded Automaton
- PDA: Push-Down Automaton
- FSA: Finite-State Automaton

7

# **Type 3: Right-Linear Grammars and FSAs**

A right-linear grammar is a 4-tuple  $(N, \Sigma, S, P)$  with

P a finite set of rewrite rules of the form  $\alpha \to \beta$ , with  $\alpha \in N$  and  $\beta \in \{\gamma \delta | \gamma \in \Sigma *, \delta \in N \cup \{\epsilon\}\}$ , i.e.:

- left-hand side of rule: a single non-terminal, and
- right-hand side of rule: a string containing at most one non-terminal, as the rightmost symbol

Right-linear grammars are formally equivalent to left-linear grammars.

#### A finite-state automaton consists of

- a tape
- a finite-state control
- no auxiliary memory

6

A regular language example: $(ab c)ab * (a cb)?$	Thinking about regular languages
Right-linear grammar: $N = \{Expr, X, Y, Z\}$ $S = \{a, b, c\}$ $P = \begin{cases} Expr \rightarrow ab X & X \rightarrow a Y \\ Expr \rightarrow c X & Z \rightarrow a \\ Y \rightarrow b Y & Z \rightarrow cb \\ Y \rightarrow Z & Z \rightarrow \epsilon \end{cases}$ Sinter-state transition network: $0$ $a$ $1$ $0$ $a$ $b$ $3$	<ul> <li>A language is regular iff one can define a FSM (or regular expression) for it.</li> <li>An FSM only has a fixed amount of memory, namely the number of states.</li> <li>Strings longer than the number of states, in particular also any infinite ones, must result from a loop in the FSM.</li> <li>Pumping Lemma: if for an infinite string there is no such loop, the string cannot be part of a regular language.</li> </ul>
Type 2: Context-Free Grammars and Push-Down Automata	A context-free language example: $a^n b^n$
••	Context-free grammar: Push-down automaton:
Automata	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ $\epsilon$ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $1$
<b>Automata</b> A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple $(N, \Sigma, S, P)$ with $P$ a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in$	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ $\epsilon$ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$
<b>Automata</b> A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple $(N, \Sigma, S, P)$ with $P$ a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)$ *, i.e.:	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ $\epsilon$ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$
<b>Automata</b> A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple $(N, \Sigma, S, P)$ with $P$ a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)$ *, i.e.: – left-hand side of rule: a single non-terminal, and	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ $\epsilon$ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$
<b>Automata</b> A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple $(N, \Sigma, S, P)$ with $P$ a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)$ *, i.e.: – left-hand side of rule: a single non-terminal, and – right-hand side of rule: a string of terminals and/or non-terminals	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ $\epsilon$ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$

# Type 1: Context-Sensitive Grammars and Linear-Bounded Automata

#### A rule of a context-sensitive grammar

- rewrites at most one non-terminal from the left-hand side.
- right-hand side of a rule required to be at least as long as the lefthand side, i.e. only contains rules of the form

 $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  with  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$ 

and optionally  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$  with the start symbol S not occurring in any  $\beta$ .

#### A linear-bounded automaton is a

- finite state automaton, with an
- auxiliary memory which cannot exceed the length of the input string.

## A context-sensitive language example: $a^n b^n c^n$

Context-sensitive grammar:

$$N = \{S, B, C\}$$
  

$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$$
  

$$S = S$$
  

$$P = \begin{cases} S \longrightarrow a S B C, \\ S \longrightarrow a b C, \\ b B \longrightarrow b b, \\ b C \longrightarrow b c, \\ c C \longrightarrow c c, \\ C B \longrightarrow B C \end{cases}$$

14

# **Type 0: General Rewrite Grammar and Turing Machines**

- In a **general rewrite grammar** there are no restrictions on the form of a rewrite rule.
- A turing machine has an unbounded auxiliary memory.
- Any language for which there is a recognition procedure can be defined, but recognition problem is not decidable.

## Properties of different language classes

Languages are sets of strings, so that one can apply set operations to languages and investigate the results for particular language classes.

Some closure properties:

- All language classes are closed under **union with themselves**.
- All language classes are closed under intersection with regular languages.
- The class of context-free languages is not closed under intersection with itself.

Proof: The intersection of the two context-free languages  ${\it L}_1$  and  ${\it L}_2$  is not context free:

$$\begin{array}{l} - \ L_1 = \left\{ a^n b^n c^i | n \ge 1 \text{ and } i \ge 0 \right\} \\ - \ L_2 = \left\{ a^j b^n c^n | n \ge 1 \text{ and } j \ge 0 \right\} \\ - \ L_1 \cap L_2 = \left\{ a^n b^n c^n | n \ge 1 \right\} \end{array}$$

16

15

13

Criteria under which to evaluate grammar formalisms	Language classes and natural languages Natural languages are not regular
<ul> <li>There are three kinds of criteria:</li> <li>linguistic naturalness</li> <li>mathematical power</li> <li>computational effectiveness and efficiency</li> </ul> The weaker the type of grammar: <ul> <li>the stronger the claim made about possible languages</li> <li>the greater the potential efficiency of the parsing procedure</li> </ul> Reasons for choosing a stronger grammar class: <ul> <li>to capture the empirical reality of actual languages</li> <li>to provide for elegant analyses capturing more generalizations (→ more "compact" grammars)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(1) a. The mouse escaped.</li> <li>b. The mouse that the cat chased escaped.</li> <li>c. The mouse that the cat that the dog saw chased escaped.</li> <li>d. :</li> <li>(2) a. aa</li> <li>b. abba</li> <li>c. abccba</li> <li>d. :</li> </ul> Center-embedding of arbitrary depth needs to be captured to capture language competence → Not possible with a finite state automaton.
17	18
17 Language classes and natural languages (cont.)	Accounting for the facts

