Towards more complex grammar systems Some basic formal language theory Detmar Meurers: Intro to Computational Linguistics I OSU, LING 684.01	Overview • Grammars, or: how to specify linguistic knowledge • Automata, or: how to process with linguistic knowledge • Levels of complexity in grammars and automata: The Chomsky hierarchy
<section-header><text><text><list-item><list-item><list-item> Grammars A grammar is a 4-tuple (N, Σ, S, P) where • N is a finite set of non-terminals • N is a finite set of non-terminals • S is a finite set of terminal symbols, with N ∩ Σ = Ø • S is a distinguished start symbol, with S ∈ N • P is a finite set of rewrite rules of the form α → β, with α, β ∈ (N ∪ Σ)* and α including at least one non-terminal symbol.</list-item></list-item></list-item></text></text></section-header>	$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \text{ simple example} \\ & \mathcal{N} = \{S, NP, VP, V_i, V_t, V_s\} \\ & \mathcal{D} = \{John, Mary, laughs, loves, thinks\} \\ & \mathcal{D} = S \\ & \mathcal{P} = \begin{cases} S & \rightarrow & NP \lor VP & \bigwedge NP & \rightarrow & John \\ & NP & \rightarrow & Mary \\ & VP & \rightarrow & V_t & NP & \rightarrow & Mary \\ & VP & \rightarrow & V_t & NP & V_t & \rightarrow & laughs \\ & VP & \rightarrow & V_s & S & V_s & \rightarrow & thinks \end{cases} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$

How does a grammar define a language?

Assume $\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup \Sigma)$ *, with α containing at least one non-terminal. • A sentential form for a grammar G is defined as:

- The start symbol S of G is a sentential form.
- If $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a sentential form and there is a rewrite rule $\beta \to \delta$ then $\alpha\delta\gamma$ is a sentential form.
- α (directly or immediately) **derives** β if $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \in P$. One writes:
 - $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$ if β is derived from α in zero or more steps
 - $\alpha \Rightarrow^+ \beta$ if β is derived from α in one or more steps
- A sentence is a sentential form consisting only of terminal symbols.
- The language L(G) generated by the grammar G is the set of all sentences which can be derived from the start symbol S,
 i.e., L(G) = {γ|S ⇒* γ}

Processing with grammars: automata

An automaton in general has three components:

- an **input tape**, divided into squares with a read-write head positioned over one of the squares
- an auxiliary memory characterized by two functions
 - fetch: memory configuration \rightarrow symbols
 - $-\,$ store: memory configuration \times symbol \rightarrow memory configuration
- and a finite-state control relating the two components.

Different levels of complexity in grammars and automata

Let $A, B \in N$, $x \in \Sigma$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (\Sigma \cup T)$ *, and $\delta \in (\Sigma \cup T)$ +, then:

ſ	Туре	Automat	on	Grai	mmar
ſ		Memory	Name	Rule	Name
	0	Unbounded	TM	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$	General rewrite
ſ	1	Bounded	LBA	$\beta A \gamma \rightarrow \beta \delta \gamma$	Context-sensitive
ſ	2	Stack	PDA	$A \rightarrow \beta$	Context-free
	3	None	FSA	$A \to xB, A \to x$	Right linear

Abbreviations:

- TM: Turing Machine
- LBA: Linear-Bounded Automaton
- PDA: Push-Down Automaton
- FSA: Finite-State Automaton

7

Type 3: Right-Linear Grammars and FSAs

A right-linear grammar is a 4-tuple (N, Σ, S, P) with

P a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \to \beta$, with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in \{\gamma \delta | \gamma \in \Sigma *, \delta \in N \cup \{\epsilon\}\}$, i.e.:

- left-hand side of rule: a single non-terminal, and
- right-hand side of rule: a string containing at most one non-terminal, as the rightmost symbol

Right-linear grammars are formally equivalent to left-linear grammars.

A finite-state automaton consists of

- a tape
- a finite-state control
- no auxiliary memory

6

A regular language example: $(ab c)ab * (a cb)?$	Thinking about regular languages
Right-linear grammar: $N = \{Expr, X, Y, Z\}$ $S = \{a, b, c\}$ $P = \begin{cases} Expr \rightarrow ab X & X \rightarrow a Y \\ Expr \rightarrow c X & Z \rightarrow a \\ Y \rightarrow b Y & Z \rightarrow cb \\ Y \rightarrow Z & Z \rightarrow \epsilon \end{cases}$ Sinter-state transition network: 0 a 1 0 a b 3	 A language is regular iff one can define a FSM (or regular expression) for it. An FSM only has a fixed amount of memory, namely the number of states. Strings longer than the number of states, in particular also any infinite ones, must result from a loop in the FSM. Pumping Lemma: if for an infinite string there is no such loop, the string cannot be part of a regular language.
Type 2: Context-Free Grammars and Push-Down Automata	A context-free language example: $a^n b^n$
••	Context-free grammar: Push-down automaton:
Automata	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ ϵ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ 1
Automata A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple (N, Σ, S, P) with P a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in$	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ ϵ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$
Automata A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple (N, Σ, S, P) with P a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)$ *, i.e.:	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ ϵ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$
Automata A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple (N, Σ, S, P) with P a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)$ *, i.e.: – left-hand side of rule: a single non-terminal, and	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ ϵ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$
Automata A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple (N, Σ, S, P) with P a finite set of rewrite rules of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, with $\alpha \in N$ and $\beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)$ *, i.e.: – left-hand side of rule: a single non-terminal, and – right-hand side of rule: a string of terminals and/or non-terminals	Context-free grammar:Push-down automaton: $N = \{S\}$ ϵ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ $s = S$

Type 1: Context-Sensitive Grammars and Linear-Bounded Automata

A rule of a context-sensitive grammar

- rewrites at most one non-terminal from the left-hand side.
- right-hand side of a rule required to be at least as long as the lefthand side, i.e. only contains rules of the form

 $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ with $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$

and optionally $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ with the start symbol S not occurring in any β .

A linear-bounded automaton is a

- finite state automaton, with an
- auxiliary memory which cannot exceed the length of the input string.

A context-sensitive language example: $a^n b^n c^n$

Context-sensitive grammar:

$$N = \{S, B, C\}$$

$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}$$

$$S = S$$

$$P = \begin{cases} S \longrightarrow a S B C, \\ S \longrightarrow a b C, \\ b B \longrightarrow b b, \\ b C \longrightarrow b c, \\ c C \longrightarrow c c, \\ C B \longrightarrow B C \end{cases}$$

14

Type 0: General Rewrite Grammar and Turing Machines

- In a **general rewrite grammar** there are no restrictions on the form of a rewrite rule.
- A turing machine has an unbounded auxiliary memory.
- Any language for which there is a recognition procedure can be defined, but recognition problem is not decidable.

Properties of different language classes

Languages are sets of strings, so that one can apply set operations to languages and investigate the results for particular language classes.

Some closure properties:

- All language classes are closed under **union with themselves**.
- All language classes are closed under intersection with regular languages.
- The class of context-free languages is not closed under intersection with itself.

Proof: The intersection of the two context-free languages ${\it L}_1$ and ${\it L}_2$ is not context free:

$$\begin{array}{l} - \ L_1 = \left\{ a^n b^n c^i | n \ge 1 \text{ and } i \ge 0 \right\} \\ - \ L_2 = \left\{ a^j b^n c^n | n \ge 1 \text{ and } j \ge 0 \right\} \\ - \ L_1 \cap L_2 = \left\{ a^n b^n c^n | n \ge 1 \right\} \end{array}$$

16

15

13

Criteria under which to evaluate grammar formalisms	Language classes and natural languages Natural languages are not regular
 There are three kinds of criteria: linguistic naturalness mathematical power computational effectiveness and efficiency The weaker the type of grammar: the stronger the claim made about possible languages the greater the potential efficiency of the parsing procedure Reasons for choosing a stronger grammar class: to capture the empirical reality of actual languages to provide for elegant analyses capturing more generalizations (→ more "compact" grammars) 	 (1) a. The mouse escaped. b. The mouse that the cat chased escaped. c. The mouse that the cat that the dog saw chased escaped. d. : (2) a. aa b. abba c. abccba d. : Center-embedding of arbitrary depth needs to be captured to capture language competence → Not possible with a finite state automaton.
17	18
17 Language classes and natural languages (cont.)	Accounting for the facts

