

TüBa-D/DP Stylebook

Release 5

Daniël de Kok and Sebastian Pütz

1 Introduction

TüBa-D/DP is a machine-annotated dependency treebank of German. The goal of TüBa-D/DP is to offer high-quality syntactic annotations for a huge amount of contemporary German text. The annotations follow the TüBa-D/Z UD annotation guidelines (Çöltekin et al. 2017) as closely as possible. TüBa-D/DP currently consists of the subcorpora summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Subcorpora of the TüBa-D/DP.

Subcorpus	Genre	Sentences	Tokens
Europarl (Koehn 2005; Tiedemann 2012)	Parliamentary proceedings	2.2M	55M
taz (1986–2009)	Newspaper	23.2M	397.3M
Wikipedia (2020)	Encyclopedia	45.5M	917.5M
Political speeches (Barbaresi 2018)	Speeches held by German officials	619,152	12.8M

TüBa-D/DP is provided in the CoNLL-U format¹ and provides the following annotations layers listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Annotation layers of the TüBa-D/DP.

Layer	CoNLL-U column	Attribute
Universal POS	UPOS	
STTS POS	XPOS	
Lemma	LEMMA	
UD morphology	FEATS	
Dependency head	HEAD	
Dependency relation	DEPREL	

¹<https://universaldependencies.org/format.html>

Layer	CoNLL-U column	Attribute
Topological field	MISC	TopoField
TüBa-D/Z morphology	MISC	Morph
Named entity	MISC	NE

The differences between the TüBa-D/DP and TüBa-D/Z UD annotation schemes are described in Section 2. The annotation tools that are used are described in Section 3.

2 Deviations from TüBa-D/Z UD annotations

2.1 Preposition-determiner contractions

Contractions of determiner and a preposition, such as *zur* (*zu der*) and *am* (*an dem*) are split into two tokens in the TüBa-D/Z UD. For instance, the token *am* in the sentence *Der Mann wurde noch am Tatort festgenommen.* is encoded as follows:²

5–6	am
5	an
6	dem

The preposition and determiner are represented as separate tokens 5 and 6. The token span 5–6 represents the original token. In the TüBa-D/DP, we do not split such contractions to simplify processing. Thus, the token would be represented as follows in the TüBa-D/DP:

5	am
---	----

2.2 Lemmas

2.2.1 Determiners

Due to the ambiguity in lemmatization of articles and relative pronouns, articles and relative pronouns are lemmatized as respectively *d* and *e* for definite and indefinite forms. For example:

- *den* → *d*
- *einem* → *e*
- *dessen* → *d*

2.2.2 Personal and possessive pronouns

Personal and possessive pronouns are lemmatized as in Table 3.

²The token annotations are removed for brevity.

Table 3: Lemmatization of personal and possessive pronouns.

Lowercased forms	Lemma
<i>ich, mich, mir, meiner</i>	<i>ich</i>
<i>du, dir, dich, deiner</i>	<i>du</i>
<i>er, ihn, ihm, seiner</i>	<i>er</i>
<i>sie, ihr, ihnen, ihrer</i>	<i>sie</i>
<i>es, 's</i>	<i>es</i>
<i>wir, uns, unser</i>	<i>wir</i>
<i>ihr, euch</i>	<i>ihr</i>

2.2.3 Indefinite pronouns

Indefinite pronouns (PIAT, PIDAT, PIS) show ambiguities in form-lemma mappings. For these categories, forms are truncated to a common prefix. Table 4 lists example tranformations with forms taken from TüBa-D/Z.

Table 4: Lemmatization of indefinite pronouns.

Lowercased forms	Lemma
<i>jeder, jede, jedes, jede(r), jeden, jede/r, jedem</i>	<i>jed</i>
<i>solche, solchen, solcher</i>	<i>solch</i>
<i>einige, einiges, einiger, einigen</i>	<i>einig</i>
<i>jedwedem, jedweden, jedwedes, jedweder</i>	<i>jedwed</i>
<i>vieler, vielen, viel, viele, vielem</i>	<i>viel</i>
<i>meisten, meiste</i>	<i>meist</i>

2.2.4 Separable verb prefixes

TüBa-D/DP marks separable verb prefixes as in TüBa-D/Z. For example, the inflected form *abgezeichnet* is lemmatized as *ab#zeichnen*. This type of transformation prefers analyses with longer prefixes over shorter prefixes. For instance, *hinzugefügt* is lemmatized as *hinz#zu#gefügt*, and not as *hinz#zu#gefügt*.

Separated prefixes are also taken into account. For example, *zeichnen* in

Diese änderungen zeichnen sich bereits ab .

is also lemmatized as *ab#zeichnen*.

In some cases, conjunctions of separable prefixes are also annotated. For example, *nimmt* in

[...] nimmt eher zu als ab

is lemmatized as *zu#nehmen/ab#nehmen*. However, the post-processing rules for such conjunctive cases may not be exhaustive.

2.3 Topological fields

Since dependency grammar does not use phrasal nodes, topological fields are annotated on a token-level (Kok and Hinrichs 2016). Each token has a feature *TopoField* that marks the field that the token is in.

Topological fields are annotated in TüBa-D/Z UD version on tokens as a list of all the topological fields that the token participates in. For instance, the topological field annotation *TopoField=NF-VF-MF* indicates that a token is in the *middle field* (MF) of the most specific clause and in the *final field* (NF) of the most general clause. In the TüBa-D/DP, we only annotate the most specific field, thus in this case *MF*.

2.4 Named entities

For improving quality in sequence labeling, the named entities are converted to an *IOB*-scheme. Where *B*- marks the first word in a named entity, *I*- subsequent words in a named entity, and *O* words that are not part of a named entity.

In the TüBa-D/Z UD corpus, named entities can be hierarchical. For instance, *FC St. Pauli* is annotated as *ORG* (organization); furthermore *St. Pauli* is annotated as *LOC* (location). In such cases, we take the outer annotation, so these tokens would be annotated as *FC/B-ORG St./I-ORG Pauli/I-ORG*.

3 Annotation tools

TüBa-D/DP was annotated with the following tools:

- **Tokenization:**
 - Wikipedia: [SoMaJo](#) (Proisl and Uhrig 2016)
 - taz: TüPP-D/Z tokenizer (Ule 2004)
- **Annotation layers:** [sticker2](#) (Kok, Falk, and Pütz 2020)

References

- Barbaresi, Adrien. 2018. “A Corpus of German Political Speeches from the 21st Century.” In.
- Çöltekin, Çağrı, Ben Campbell, Erhard Hinrichs, and Heike Telljohann. 2017. “Converting the TüBa-d/Z Treebank of German to Universal Dependencies.” In *Proceedings of the NoDaLiDa 2017 Workshop on Universal Dependencies (UDW 2017)*, 27–37. Gothenburg, Sweden: Association for Computational Linguistics. <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W17-0404>.
- Koehn, Philipp. 2005. “Europarl: A Parallel Corpus for Statistical Machine Translation.” In *Conference Proceedings: the tenth Machine Translation Summit*, 79–86. Phuket, Thailand: AAMT; AAMT.

- Kok, Daniël de, Neele Falk, and Tobias Pütz. 2020. “Sticker2: A Neural Syntax Annotator for Dutch and German.”
- Kok, Daniël de, and Erhard Hinrichs. 2016. “Transition-Based Dependency Parsing with Topological Fields.” In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, 2:1–7.
- Proisl, Thomas, and Peter Uhrig. 2016. “SoMaJo: State-of-the-Art Tokenization for German Web and Social Media Texts.” In *Proceedings of the 10th Web as Corpus Workshop (WAC-X) and the EmpiriST Shared Task*, 57–62. Berlin: Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL). <http://aclweb.org/anthology/W16-2607>.
- Tiedemann, Jörg. 2012. “Parallel Data, Tools and Interfaces in OPUS.” In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC’12)*, 2214–8. Istanbul, Turkey: European Language Resources Association (ELRA). http://www.lrec-conf.org/proceedings/lrec2012/pdf/463_Paper.pdf.
- Ule, Tylman. 2004. “Markup Manual for the Tübingen Partially Parsed Corpus of Written German (TüPP-d/Z).” In *Sonderforschungsbereich 441, Seminar Für Sprachwissenschaft, Universität Tübingen*, 28:2006.